

COMMISSIONERS APPROVAL

GRANDSTAFF *CG*

ROKOSCH *JER*

THOMPSON *AT*

CHILCOTT *CG*

DRISCOLL *JD*

PLETTENBERG (Clerk & Recorder)

Members Present..... Commissioner Carlotta Grandstaff,
Commissioner Jim Rokosch, Commissioner Alan Thompson, Commissioner Greg
Chilcott and Commissioner Kathleen Driscoll

Date.....September 30, 2008

Minutes: Beth Perkins

- ▶ The Board met to review and possibly appoint Fair Commission applicants. Commissioner Chilcott made a motion to reappoint Les Linendoll. Commissioner Thompson seconded the motion and all voted 'aye'. **Commissioner Chilcott made a motion to appoint Steve Benedict. Commissioner Thompson seconded the motion.** Discussion: Commissioner Grandstaff recommended Kristen Bounds for appointment. Discussion followed regarding other applicants. **Commissioner Thompson and Commissioner Chilcott voted 'aye'. Commissioner Grandstaff, Commissioner Rokosch, and Commissioner Driscoll voted 'nay'. Commissioner Rokosch made a motion to appoint Kristen Bounds to the Fair Commission with term ending September 30, 2012. Commissioner Driscoll seconded the motion. Commissioner Grandstaff, Commissioner Rokosch, and Commissioner Driscoll voted 'aye'. Commissioner Thompson and Commissioner Chilcott voted 'nay'.**
- ▶ The Board met for the following administrative matters:
 - Approval of June and July 2008 minutes: **Commissioner Driscoll made a motion to approve June and July minutes as corrected. Commissioner Chilcott seconded the motion and all voted 'aye'.**
 - Administrative Assistant Glenda Wiles gave an update on CTEP. She stated for the Willow Creek CTEP project, the agreement has been approved and the first match monies have been received. In regard to the Florence CTEP project around the school, she met on site with school officials and PAC members (community members) in regard to constructing the sidewalk by phases. Discussion during the

walk though included the engineering concerns with slopes, sidewalk and pavement needs. Commissioner Rokosch asked how the engineer gets selected. Glenda replied if the cost of construction is under a certain amount, a simple bid process can take place, if the amount is over the \$50,000 bench mark she will need to out for the formal request for proposals through legal notice. She stated in most cases the bid process will go to RFP. Discussion included the balance of the CTEP funds which Glenda noted was a different amount on the excel spreadsheet from the State than what Skip Rosenthal has in his CTEP file. Glenda will double check these amounts and report back to the Commissioners. She indicated she was sure there would be sufficient funding for the first phase for the Florence project.

- Vending Machines: Glenda discussed the vending machine owner not being able to give 10% of his concessions back to the county due to rising costs of gas and materials. **It was the Board's consensus not to keep the vending machines if the costs of electricity cannot be covered.**
- Safety Committee Concerns with Entry at Nurse's Office: Glenda discussed the concerns with the entrance. She stated it is ADA compliant, however it is not convenient with opening the door. **The Board requested Glenda to investigate the cost of having an opener installed.**
- Family Planning Contract: Judy Griffin gave an overview of the contract. Commissioner Rokosch expressed his concern with adopting a contract without legal review. Judy requested Board direction for legal counsel to review the contract. **Commissioner Grandstaff replied she would contact legal counsel and see when it can be reviewed.** Judy stated she had a resignation from an employee and requested re-advertising for the position. She provided the Board with a job description. Commissioner Rokosch requested putting the request on the agenda for Thursday.
- Adoption of Resolution for Support of Volunteer Boards: Resolution No. 2288 **Commissioner Rokosch made a motion to adopt Resolution No. 2288 as amended here today. Commissioner Driscoll seconded the motion.**
Discussion: Commissioner Chilcott expressed his concern with condoning the behavior of the emails of one Board and the lack of public participation for subcommittee meetings. He understands the efficiency problem with having public involvement, but it is clearly outlined within the resolution to establish the committee. Commissioner Driscoll gave an example with questions asked of Board members not being in a quorum. Commissioner Rokosch stated from his perspective, all actions of this committee have been transparent. Subcommittees are working groups and what is developed comes before the whole committee in public. There has not been any attempt to hide anything from the public. These subcommittees work in their homes. Further discussion followed regarding public involvement. Commissioner Chilcott stated we are engaged in a political process and working on some very aggressive land use regulations. When these types of

documents surface that clearly state they do not want the public involved – there is a problem. This Board needs to remind Volunteer Boards there needs to be public involvement. Commissioner Grandstaff stated some public involvement is harassment. Commissioner Chilcott stated he is in full support of the voluntary boards and their hard work. He cannot condone the conduct of some boards with lack of public involvement. Commissioner Rokosch stated with less than a quorum, there is no violation of lack of public participation. Commissioner Driscoll stated there are members of the public that are disruptive and harassing and when you are in a working group that is difficult. Commissioner Thompson stated it is perception, not reality. He understands these are subcommittees in working groups and then drafts go to the full committee. It is the perception of the public not being able to participate. The subcommittee needs to come in and state they are working first and when finished they will accept public comments. Public perception is extremely important in government. Commissioner Driscoll asked how to support public perception and voluntary boards. Commissioner Thompson stated in actuality public participation is not required in subcommittees but is welcomed at the appropriate time. Commissioner Driscoll stated it is the County Commissioners' responsibility to direct the volunteer boards. She recommended adopting this resolution and then direct the Boards in regards to public participation. Glenda stated this is an emotionally charged issue and felt it was a good idea for the Commissioners to have a conversation with all Boards in regard to public participation. Commissioner Rokosch agreed suggesting having a training session for all volunteer boards. **All voted 'aye'. Commissioner Chilcott made a motion to direct staff to organize a training session for voluntary boards for specific legal interpretation of public participation and resolutions they create. Commissioner Driscoll seconded the motion and all voted 'aye'.**

- Adoption of Resolution for Support of the Growth Policy: No. 2289. Board discussion occurred regarding the inclusion of MCA and the language. Commissioner Chilcott stated his position with the Citizens' right to vote on zoning but supports the Growth Policy. Glenda discussed the changes with the first and second "Whereas" portion of the resolution and the language. Board discussion followed regarding additional changes with adding MCA to all the "Whereas". Commissioner Chilcott reiterated his concern with the repeal of the Growth Policy being a tool to stop zoning. Commissioner Rokosch stated citizens have the right to vote on any zoning and every five years there after, if adopted. The reality of it is the vote on the November ballot is to repeal the Growth Policy. He discussed portions of the Growth Policy that have become issues with citizens. Commissioner Driscoll stated she witnessed citizens voting on the Growth Policy when it was established. This is just a part of the voting to follow up on thousands of citizens vote to establish the Growth Policy. Commissioner Grandstaff stated she reported on the Growth Policy when it was established and the fact of it being challenged now, she is wondering what people were told. She is not sure people got the right information of what the Growth Policy is and what it means. Commissioner Chilcott stated it is interesting if thousands of citizens support it, then why the Board is concerned. Commissioner Rokosch stated there are a lot of

spins put on the Growth Policy focused on stopping zoning. There will be an opportunity to vote on zoning when maps and regulations are completed. The vote will be on what the community has crafted and what the Board interprets for regulations. He supports this resolution to draw that distinction.

Commissioner Thompson stated he is an advocate of the Growth Policy. He is not crazy about some of the aspects of some of the zoning and believes citizens should have the right to vote on zoning. The Growth Policy is a positive document. The majority of the citizens voted for it. He hopes the Board can convey to the citizens that they can vote on zoning and not remove the Growth Policy. He spoke about the work that went into the Growth Policy and the many people who contributed to it. Commissioner Chilcott asked about the ballot measure and a resolution supporting that measure. He stated Civil Counsel recommended reviewing the document prior to adoption. Glenda added the language came directly from the Growth Policy. Board discussion followed regarding language and meaning of the content. Commissioner Chilcott reiterated this resolution is a direct response to the ballot measure to repeal the Growth Policy. He requested a resolution for the citizens' right to vote. Commissioner Rokosch agreed to create a resolution for the sunset clause.

Chip Pigman asked when the vote would occur. Commissioner Rokosch stated the sunset clause is the right to vote after it is adopted and people live with it for awhile. Chip Pigman stated the Board has all the right intentions but it is not being conveyed to the public. The fear is out there with zoning, streamside setbacks and the right to vote. Commissioner Rokosch suggested the citizens do their homework before voting. Chip Pigman stated he has not heard the communities would have an opportunity to decide.

Terry Daniel asked if the Board was going to implement zoning and whether the citizens would have the right to vote at the next general election. Commissioner Rokosch replied it could be adopted on a certain date but not implemented until the voters decide.

Chris Daniel suggested putting the zoning package together first and then let the voters decide prior to implementation. There is a lack of trust with the public.

Michael Howell stated he would echo Commissioner Thompson's comments on the Growth Policy. There is fear out there and being exposed to those comments he has heard little about the Growth Policy it has been directed towards zoning. It is a bad move to repeal the Growth Policy to stop zoning. The Board should affirm support of the Growth Policy.

Chuck Roubik stated the Board should adopt this resolution in support of the Growth Policy. As for getting the public to vote on zoning before it is in place, he is in favor of the vote being after so people will know the ramifications of zoning.

Terry Daniel stated Montana 76-2-201 states in order to have zoning, you need the Growth Policy. The Growth Policy offers the authority for zoning.

Commissioner Chilcott stated letting the citizens' vote on zoning prior to implementation, gives trust back to the citizens.

Chip stated there is a great opportunity to save the Growth Policy. A lot of the public is using the tool to vote on zoning.

David Beatty stated he agrees with Chip. He believes it does establish trust with the citizens to vote prior to implementation. He believes it would go a long way. He has a hard time believing adoption of this resolution is in direct reaction to the ballot measures otherwise, why would it be voted on right now? The Board has managed the zoning proposals in a way where private property rights have been violated.

Commissioner Grandstaff recommended giving the resolution to Civil Counsel for legal review prior to adoption.

► The Board met for discussion and decision on Streamside Setback administration and extension of deadline. Present were Floodplain Administrator Laura Hendrix, Planner Vanessa Morrell, Planner John Lavey and several citizens.

Vanessa reviewed the RCAs submitted. She reviewed the extension with the deadline for completion of a Draft Streamside Protection Regulation by the Streamside Setback Committee to October 31st, 2008. Commissioner Grandstaff requested addressing the RCA pertaining to administrative support, switching to Commissioners' Staff from Planning Staff. After discussion, it was determined Commissioners' Staff will provide administrative support to include emailing updates to the public, responding to Streamside Setback Committee emails, responding to emails and phone calls from the public on Streamside Setback Committee activities, collecting and compiling public comment on Streamside Setback Committee activities, and other tasks as needed.

Commissioner Chilcott made a motion to adopt the amendment to Resolution No. 3000 as discussed here today. Commissioner Driscoll seconded the motion and all voted 'aye'.

Civil Counsel Karen Mahar stated there are several duties pending with Streamside Setback Committee and therefore may benefit by holding off on the deadline extension. Commissioner Chilcott expressed his concern with the deadline and this year's legislative session. Commissioner Grandstaff discussed State law and with the same bill being brought forward, County governments could enact something different and does not know if it relevant. Commissioner Grandstaff stated this is a temporary situation for Office Staff and does not want Beth and Glenda to be doing this for an extended period of time. Commissioner Rokosch discussed the adoption goal to be in March and it was part of the discussion. Board discussion followed regarding timeframes for extension.

Commissioner Driscoll made a motion to extend the deadline for completion of a Draft Streamside Protection Regulation by the Streamside Setback Committee to October 31st, 2008. Commissioner Rokosch seconded the motion. Discussion: Commissioner Thompson stated he is not in favor of setting deadlines. When the work is presented and done, is when it is up for public discussion. **Commissioner Grandstaff, Commissioner Rokosch, Commissioner Driscoll voted 'aye'. Commissioner Thompson and Commissioner Chilcott voted 'nay'.**

Commissioner Grandstaff opened the floor to public comment hearing none. She then closed public comment.

► The Board met for discussion of TIA (Transportation Impact Studies/Analyses) for site development. Present were Road & Bridge Supervisor David Ohnstad, Planner John Lavey and Planner Tristan Riddell.

Commissioner Grandstaff called the meeting to order.

David discussed the recent road standards and how a threshold of 25% increase in traffic volume has been identified. There is no right or wrong answer since these are across the board nationally. It should at least be reasonable. He stated there is no set standard but rather guidelines of what a traffic impact analyses would include such as capacity. Neither is a set standard to limit the analyses to specific items. David stated amendments had been done to include structural capacity. David referred to a handout previously given to the Board for TIA for site development.

Commissioner Rokosch stated he would like to see tailoring of data relative to reviews. He discussed collector roads at 25% being rather large developments and it is missing the impacts due to the high level of existing traffic. He stated the Board should consider some tailored material specific to those impacts. He asked how to adopt a policy to have the TIAs initially deal with those considerations. David replied a 100 ADT local access road and 25 trips a day with a 4 unit subdivision will be required to conduct a TIA. Typically, the traffic engineers who develop these do pro-forma analyses. It is the geographical restriction that impacts traffic flow and level of service. He stated the existing condition of the road cannot accommodate that level of increase without improvements. This is why recent amendments to the encroachment policy were necessary. Commissioner Rokosch added the build-out be considered for approved lots entering into traffic counts for the decision of the Board of County Commissioners. He is not sure how to address it other than a TIA. David gave an example of a subdivision (Arrow Hill Ranch) identifying traffic counts for those lots not built. Tristan stated his concern and suggested having a district map. Commissioner Chilcott stated to address a problem always starts with what you want to achieve. The capacity of a road and proportionality needs to be defined. Commissioner Driscoll replied the condition of the road, how much can it take. David replied a two lane highway can carry tremendous amounts of traffic. The geographical or structural restrictions limiting the flow are what TIA identifies. How a TIA is initiated usually requires an accident happen or it is

requested by governing authorities. He stated it is almost where someone has to propose something to take a serious look at it. Commissioner Rokosch stated credible data and information is what the Board of County Commissioners can use to make their decisions and request adequate mitigation. He stated he is trying to refine this approach. He stated the current calculations for pro rata are not serving the Board well. David stated the answer to the question "Are we going the first time out to get a TIA that we agree with?" the answer is "no". It is measuring the level of service. He stated our system has very little design to it and no theoretical designs. He discussed section K amended in the encroachment policy for TIAs. If the language is in the subdivision regulations, the Board could require TIAs. He stated with the established threshold, the Board could determine whether it has been met in reviews. Commissioner Rokosch discussed the load on State roads as well. David replied MDOT cannot under their own admin rules, collect impact fees. He stated the State could develop an understanding with the County to act as their agent, such as with Eight Mile Creek Road and impacts to it (before hand or after the fact) the County could collect that mitigation. Commissioner Chilcott stated this has come up before if the County had authority to collect those fees. He asked how the Board takes care of it once it is identified. It gets complicated. Commissioner Grandstaff stated there was a valid attempt to collect fees for the mitigation of those impacts on State roads. Commissioner Rokosch suggested waiting for the Land Use Law Clinic to help address the issue of local access roads all the way to State roads. David stated Highway 93 is a portion of the National Highway System and attempting to determine local impacts to that highway system, may be pushing the envelope. Commissioner Rokosch stated when the Board approves development along these systems they are responsible for public safety. Further discussion followed regarding TIAs and State Highway and National Highway systems.

Commissioner Rokosch requested a recommendation from David for those classifications. David discussed the percentages for local access roads at 25% being reasonable. It was the Board's consensus to forward this (Section K in the Encroachment Policy) to MDOT for recommendations. David stated he could discuss with Planning Staff and come back with recommendations for the Board in terms of subdivision regulations. Further discussion followed regarding the counting of "ghost" cars to be included with TIAs. The Board directed David to craft threshold criteria for use in subdivision review.

► The Board met for discussion and a decision for an extension of the easement for the Kootenai Creek Bridge project continued from Friday September 26th. Present were Road & Bridge Supervisor David Ohnstad and Elenita Brown.

David presented the Board with a copy of the revised schedule of the Kootenai Creek Bridge replacement showing the road can be in use on October 17th. He gave an overview of what has been completed to date. David discussed the proposal to be accepted by the Board could be covered under the \$500 per day penalty to the engineer. He stated there could be additional completion costs and reviewed such costs with the Board.

Commissioner Rokosch made a motion to extend the easement agreement with Elenita Brown and Tom Costen for an additional \$150 day payment from the end of the existing contract to the opening of the bridge to public access. Commissioner Chilcott seconded the motion and all voted 'aye'.

► The Board met for public comment period: Commissioner Grandstaff opened public comment.

Jani Summers stated she is here to voice her opinion on the Streamside Setback Committee. She read a prepared statement to the Board. She discussed her concerns with changes in the majority of the Streamside Setback Committee for public input. She stated during the Streamside Setback Committee meetings public comment was not allowed until the end of the night and then only agenda specific. She stated comments by Chris Daniel indicated deliberate lack of public participation. She further expressed her concerns with the process of the Streamside Setback Committee.

Dan Floyd stated he would like to review the process of the Streamside Setback Committee. He stated the question of public meetings of the Streamside Setback Committee was directed toward Laura Hendrix and was told as long as there was not a quorum present it did not have to be public. He discussed the emails published in the Ravalli Republic and how it was a deliberate attempt to block public involvement and in direct violation of Resolution No. 3000. He expressed his concern with closed public meetings and how each individual can be sued. Dan stated he has been to several meetings where Commissioners have gone out into the hallway and then returned which is also illegal. The consequences by those actions are decisions made by those Commissioners can be challenged. He requested the Streamside Setback Committee be dismissed and throw out the work they have done. He requested the three new Commissioners resign at once.

Terri Polumsky stated she is here on behalf of the Board of Realtors. She read the letter submitted to the Board. (See attached) She stated the current draft regulations should be thrown out due to not following the public process.

Tom Robak stated he is a property owner. He is concerned with grandfathering in existing homes with the streamside setback regulations. He said until he took out an ad in the Republic, the issue was not addressed. He stated it has cost him over \$600 for these ads to give public notice of what is going on.

Terry presented a letter from BBIA to be entered into the record.

Dan Cox stated Commissioner Rokosch was present at the last couple of SSC meetings. The vote in Darby was no zoning and he wants to know why the SSC has not been instructed to remove Darby from SS regulations. Commissioner Chilcott stated the Board agreed to honor the Darby vote. To his understanding, streamside setbacks are taking another legal route than zoning. Commissioner Rokosch stated there has been discussion at the SSC meetings for where Darby lies under the code. He stated there is instruction to

the County Attorney's Office to wait until after the November election to address the SSC document.

Susanna Pyron stated streamside setbacks are perfidious due to other regulations and the County Floodplain Administrator. It is overlapping and we don't need anymore. She suggested having something similar to the Forest Service workshops. It is more successful if it is voluntary such as the stewardship. Most people, who own land, love it. She proposed the abolishment of the Streamside Setback Committee.

Jan Wisniewski stated he spoke to Harold Peterson of the Big Hole for their Streamside Setback Committee. What they have is a voluntary document by the landowners. The only people who had a vote were the landowners on the river. He stated it was all voluntary and not shoved down their throat. He would like to know how much money was spent on SS and zoning. He stated \$193,000 was thrown out back a few months and how much of that could have been used towards the budget? How many people have attended hundreds of meetings promising public input would be used and theirs are never written down? Darby is not mentioned anywhere. The written document is proof. He stated people have sat here meeting after meeting and are not being heard. He stated he has been asked several times when the three new Commissioners are up for re-election.

Commissioner Grandstaff assured Jan that \$193,000 of taxpayer money was not used to promote zoning or SSC. She stated Jan is a volunteer board member. This morning the Board adopted Resolution No. 2288 acknowledging all the hard work of the voluntary boards and having a general workshop for all boards for public process.

Dan Cox asked what would happen if one of the board members breaks the law? Commissioner Grandstaff stated she imagines it would be out of the Commissioners' hands.

Commissioner Grandstaff stated members of this board do not meet in the hall. Dan Floyd replied this board is guilty of conspiracy. He stated he is willing to provide the tapes to prove it.

Chris Daniel stated there is public testimony of the inappropriate behavior of the SSC. He would like to know what action is going to be taken. Commissioner Driscoll replied it is up to the County Attorney's Office for clarification.

Dan Floyd stated a judge will make a decision. Chris Daniel asked if Commissioner Driscoll is going to check whether subcommittee meetings of more than two people is legal or not. He stated Resolution 3000 states all meetings should be public.

Jan stated when he got onto the Planning Board he was asked if he had any experience. He replied no but everyone should have the same rules and be on a level playing field. He stated it is totally disrespectful what the Streamside Setback Committee did. No one trusts them. He was told once that with Government, septic tanks and your stomach, if they are working properly, who wouldn't know they were there.

Gene Williams stated he has filmed these meetings of the Commissioners. In 1992, there was a meeting in Rio de Janeiro about sustainable development. It was directed to the global effort to control environment and it is about framing things in the context of the environment. Social Equality is what grabbed him. It was rich nations bringing everyone to the same level. He stated the people are suspicious and what is not understood is this emanates from the United Nations and it may help to explain what is going on here. He is concerned about what is happening in the USA. He has a daughter who wants to come up here and build. He is concerned about this community being ripped apart. He requested agenda 21 be reviewed.

John Joost stated he is a landowner and he has been watching the SSC. He is concerned with the draft regulations. None of the Commissioners pay his taxes and the people should have a right to vote on zoning and streamside setbacks. No one should tell him where he could build. He would like to see this committee chunked.

Commissioner Driscoll stated if Mr. Floyd has video or Gene Williams she would like to see it.

Terry Daniel requested a timeline and answer for the SSC actions and disbandment.

Dan Cox added is it illegal for the SSC to conspire to not allow the public input?

Bill McCluskey stated some board members get cut-off by the chairperson of the committees.

Commissioner Chilcott reviewed MCA specifically to open meetings constituting a quorum.

Dan Cox stated George Marshall made a comment in the emails the need to do it right and was replied to negatively. He requested to halt meetings of the SSC until the opinion of the County Attorney derives. Commissioner Chilcott stated there is a lot of work and data existing. The draft form will have public input and be considered carefully. There has been a lot invested into this and comparisons of data and it can be used as a starting point. Dan stated he is requesting a halt, not throwing out all the work. He suggested having an injunction period until an answer can be derived. Commissioner Rokosch stated this is a working group. It is crafting a decision by a full committee by the working groups. There is opportunity to have public input at these meetings. The next meeting of the SSC is Thursday with the purpose to review public comment and incorporate it into the draft. He would not recommend halting any meetings at this time. Commissioner Driscoll stated it has been relayed by Staff there is a stack about an inch thick of public comments to be considered for input.

Commissioner Chilcott stated he would support the Board advising all volunteer boards to publicly notice all meetings and all subcommittee meetings. Commissioner Grandstaff agreed. Commissioner Rokosch disagreed with subcommittees being public. His concern

is some of the meetings are in people's homes and there are working sessions that will be brought forward to the full board. This is the way things are crafted even at government levels. Commissioner Grandstaff stated she would support Commissioner Chilcott's request as long as people are respectful and not disruptive. If the intent is to intimidate and harass, she is not in favor. Chris Daniel stated they would not be at this point if they had received detailed reports from the subcommittees to inform the public of what they have been working on. He expressed his concern of the subcommittees coming back with a detailed document and no public input included. Commissioner Grandstaff asked if it would be acceptable to this group to have board direction for public notices for subcommittees. Dan Cox stated the problem is "outside public nay sayers are due no consideration but education". He stated if the public is not going to be given due consideration, then why attend? Terry Daniel stated she agrees with Dan with the lack of due consideration for public comment.

Terri Polumsky stated the Streamside Setback Committee broke the law with public process. Commissioner Grandstaff asked what is precisely being asked of the Board of County Commissioners. Dan Cox replied to halt Streamside Setback Committee meetings and investigate the intent to keep public comment out of meetings. Commissioner Grandstaff stated to her understanding this group is requesting the Board of County Commissioners to decide whether or not the Streamside Setback Committee broke the law and to halt the meetings and to not adopt the draft because it would be moot without public input.

Commissioner Rokosch stated he does not think it prudent to halt the meetings. He stated there is good data and draft work.

Commissioner Chilcott stated the allegations are significant and should be investigated. We are going to ask the County Attorney to investigate it. He expressed his concerns with some content of emails. He stated there is a problem with the degree of public input with the draft.

Commissioner Thompson stated public meetings are when a quorum is present. They may have met the letter of the law but the perception of the public is a concern. He stated he does not want the citizens of this county to not have public input. He stated the door needs to be opened for input. We have seen the proper input here today and that is what needs to transpire in these meetings. He recommended legal counsel review these emails. The full committee must have a publicly advertised meeting with public comment by law. He stated the Board agreed to have all committees be trained on what to do. There is turnover with these committees. He stated he is not in favor of canceling any meetings until Civil Counsel has had time to respond.

Commissioner Driscoll stated it was requested the three new commissioners step down due to allegations of conspiracy. What she sees over and over again, is there are conversations all over the valley for the conspiracy with this board and the Streamside Setback Committee. She stated she agrees with Commissioner Thompson and the meetings should not be stopped.

Commissioner Grandstaff agrees with Commissioner Thompson that the meetings should not be halted but will ask County Attorney to investigate and move it up on the priority list so we can move on.